

College Area Community Planning Board (CACPB)
 Minutes from the Regular Meetings: October 12, 2022 at 7:00 pm
 Held At Faith Presbyterian Church, 5075 Campanile Dr.

P	Jim Jennings	President	P	Mike Jenkins
A	Tom Silva	Vice President	A (A3)	Chris Luna
P	Ann Cottrell	Secretary	P (A2)	Robert Montana
A (A3)	David Cook	Treasurer	A	Roie Moyal
P (A1)	Rachel Gregg	SDSU Appointee	P	Troy Murphree
P	Rob Winters	SDSU AS Appointee	P (A1)	B.J. Nystrom
P	Jim Schneider	BID Representative	P (A1)	Jose Reynoso
P	Robert Higdon			

TOTAL BOARD MEMBERS: 20 (momentarily 16)

P= present L= Late A – Absent (1),(2),(3) = 1st, 2nd 3rd absence

CP 600-24, Art. IV, Sec 1: “A vacancy exists upon the 3rd consecutive absence or 4th absence in 12 months (April May)

M/S/C = Moved/Seconded/Carried

Call to Order: 7:00

I. Approval of Agenda:

A. Additions to Agenda: Jenkins

1. New meeting time. (New Business)
2. Remove Bylaws mandate for Project Review committee. (New Business)

3. Eliminate mandate for law enforcement, state & local officials to report to CACPB (New Business)

Move to approve amended agenda: Montana S: Jenkins *Approved by consensus

II. Approval of Minutes from Regular Meeting of September 14, 2022:

M to approve minutes: Schneider S: Nystrom Y: 9 N:0 A: 2(absent) Gregg, Reynoso

*Approved by consensus

III. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items within CACPB Jurisdiction

A. Montana: Interim SDSU President, Sally Roush, passed away Friday. We should send condolence to family. Gregg will help

B. Jennings: CACC social hour tomorrow 5:30 at Scrimshaws Coffee House

IV. Reports from law enforcement, elected officials, College Area Business District

A. *Gregg, SDSU*: Campus is back to normal.

1. A lot of focus on new buildings at the Imperial Valley campus & opening of Snapdragon Stadium in Mission Valley
2. We're celebrating SDSU's 125th anniversary with "paint the town red," --red lights on iconic buildings Homecoming weekend. We encourage others to light their homes.

C. *Schneider, Business District*

1. Halloween mixer Oct. 26 at Alcove Wine & Beer
2. We're working with muralists to put art on some public buildings under the city's storefront improvement program using funds from that with funds from our office & from CACC. SDG&E is also painting a mural on a new fence.

D. *Winters, SDSU AS*

1. AS Food Drive, Aztec Rocks Hunger, is on. Collecting starts next week.
2. Greeks used to pick up trash in neighborhoods. This year the AS Campus Community Council will do a pickup Oct. 23rd with one of the college councils.

V. **New Business**

A. *Alvarado water easement*. Postponed

B. *Items for CACPB November agenda*

1. *Jennings*: I recommend that starting in January we meet at 5:30, 2nd Tuesday of the month at the library. The library has excellent equipment for zoom meetings which will permit hybrid meetings.
2. *Jenkins*: Project Review committee is now redundant. I recommend CACPB Bylaws be changed from **shall** have a Project Review committee to **may** appoint this committee.
3. *Jenkins*; Reports from law officers & local representatives make sense for CACC but aren't necessary here as CACPB agenda is narrower. If important they could be included in public comment.

C. *Montezuma park: Jennings*

1. Juliana Grotzinger (S.D. Engineering & Capital Projects Dept.) will come to Plan Update meeting in 2 weeks, or maybe to CACPB November 9. I'm not sure whether to

talk about parks in general or Montezuma Park proposals. We need to be prepared to ask questions about specific things, like funding etc.

2. *Karen Austin*: I contacted planners in the Planning & Parks Dept. asking why we had been left out of discussion on Montezuma Park. The response from Planning Department's Public Information Office was: "July 20 in accordance with Council Policy 600-33 E&CP staff met with Colina del Sol Recreation group to share concepts for improved Montezuma Park & polled meeting attendees on preferences for preliminary design strategy. In effort to get more community input E&CP staff will meet with College Area Plan Update meeting Oct. 26."
3. Nov. 16 Colina del Sol Parks Board will vote on 2 choices for Montezuma Park. We need to provide input before their meeting. College Area needs a representative on Colina del Sol Parks board which includes the College Area.
4. *Givot*: \$9,000 remains in College Area DIF; \$2,000 has been committed; it appears to be for Montezuma Park with no CACPB participation. You must provide input before the November 16 meeting.
5. *Montana*: As chair of CACPB, Jennings has authority to write Grotzinger, our elected official & the Parks & Recreation director to object to committing that money to

Montezuma Park without our input. If the decision needs to be delayed for our input then it must be delayed, Plan Update committee has no authority on this; it is CACPB matter.

6. *Jennings*: I'll contact Causman to say we want her at the CACPB not Plan Update meeting.

VI. Delegate Reports *Plan Update Report: Montana*

A. Subcommittee meetings with city planners

1. September 28 meeting: we presented our 7 Visions (7V) plan to planners. (Though it was on their slides, it was not presented at the meeting). They commented on the plan's shortcomings & presented a new plan which I guess they intend to present at Planning Commission workshop Nov. 17. We had 2 minutes to comment on their new plan.
2. Oct. 26 there will be a "redo" of the 9/28 meeting which had technical problems.
 - a. Three items need to be on agenda for this meeting. (I sent these to Causman)
 - How should citizens effectively present their positions to Planning Commission?
 - The city needs to seriously discuss infrastructure plans. 10,000+ new residential units require new infrastructure, especially fire station & at least 10 acres of new parks. There must be explicit plans for parks, including how to pay for them. The city never talks about this & it must be explicitly included.
 - How does Blueprint San Diego (BPSD) impact 7V plan? It appears 7V is closer to BPSD than their proposals, e.g., neither BPSD nor 7V upzone E. Falls View; the city's plans do. At same time city needs to answer questions about assumptions behind BPSD & why it should be used before it is reviewed & adopted.
 - b. If these points aren't on the agenda, we need to present them in public comment. We need to discuss how to effectively present our ideas at Planning Commission.
3. *Givot*: 7V revision in response to Sept. 28 Plan Update meeting:
 - a. Having revised 7V to meet city goals & submitted it to city for discussion at Plan Update meeting Sept. 28. we heard nothing from the city about the plan until that meeting when we were told plan was dismissed due to 2 new problems.
 - Our plan did not reflect adopted land use zoning so effectively downzoned 2 areas:
 - All of El Cajon Blvd. (north side). Our 75 du/ac must now be 110 du/ac.
 - Montezuma between College Ave. & Zura Way (south side). Our 45 du/ac now must be 75 du/ac.
 - We did not exclude constrained sites from calculations (hospital, condos, senior housing, SDSU dorms, church & school, affordable housing) can't be counted. We must remove them from density calculation.
 - b. The new zoning, above, will be 6-8 stories. With Complete Communities (Comcom) any area zoned over 20 du/ac can be enlarged without community review. Causman says Comcom won't be available to builders there. We don't see how that can be.
 - c. This revision, addressing the 2 problems, is for 10,239 incremental units, still above 10,000 unit goal.
 - d. I need a motion & vote to accept revised 7V. Then we can send it to the city so Planning will have it to present to Planning Commission along with theirs Nov. 17. *Montana*: It is not on the agenda so we can't vote now. We need to Notice it for Nov. 9 meeting & can vote then.
 - e. The city has a new plan. They didn't change Grand Boulevard or 15 Minute Neighborhood plans, they adopted a new one. This latest plan has no name yet and no metrics. They're supposed to bring it to next meeting with density figures & what those mean in terms of housing units.

4. Parks

We need to continue pushing for commitment to parks. 6650 Montezuma, adjacent to library, was mentioned several times, maybe consider buying it?

Montana: We shouldn't identify specific sites but list potential places. This would not remove proposed housing in the plan. Let the city decide location; it is their responsibility to provide park. CACC should raise money for a park.

VII. Adjournment: 8:20

Move to Adjourn: Schneider, S: Cottrell

*approved by consensus

Minutes by Ann Cottrell, Secretary