



Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting Minutes

March 7, 2012

- Attendees: Jon Becker, Joost Bende, Suzanne Brooks, Thom Clark, Bill Diehl, John Keating, Darren Parker, Jeanine Politte, Keith Rhodes, Scot Sandstrom, Mike Shoecraft, Dennis Spurr
- Absent: Bill Dumka, Ruth Loucks, Dann Mallec, Charles Sellers, John Spelta, Ramesses Surban
- Community Members & Guests (Voluntary Sign-in): Marc Roland, Jagadish Nayak, Dave Roberts, Roger Boyd, Steve Gore, Ralph & Lorraine Pickels, John Oleson, Mike Kelly, Rick Carey, Joe Schuary, Jim O'Neill, Hilary Brown, Jane Vredensky

-
1. The meeting was called to order at 7:40 pm at the Doubletree Golf Resort located at 14455 Peñasquitos Drive, San Diego, California 92129. A Quorum was present.
 2. Agenda Modifications: Remove 2 items: 1) Caltrans Update on I-15 and Sabre Springs/Peñasquitos Transit Station (moved to April agenda at request of representative), and 2) Integral Communities Camelot project (applicant presented at LUC, will wait until next cycle review before returning).
 3. MINUTES:
Motion: To approve the February 1, 2012 Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting minutes as corrected. M/S/C - Bende/Brooks/Approved, 11 in favor – 0 against – 1 abstention (Shoecraft).
 4. Guests: No public safety agencies were present.
 5. NON-AGENDA, PUBLIC COMMENTS:
 - a. Diehl reported that PQ Rec Council's Spring Egg Hunt would be held at Ridgewood, Rolling Hills, Peñasquitos Creek and South Village neighborhood parks beginning at 10am, April 7th. Other activities will include clowns and party jumps for the kids.
 - b. Diehl also reported that Canyonside Park lighting repairs should be completed by end of the week; Becker added that repairs were due to copper thefts. Diehl noted that the City electrician stated the cost to repair/replace wiring in Rancho Bernardo alone cost the City \$60,000 (materials only). Locking covers for wiring cabinets/boxes are going in at all parks.
 - c. Rick Carey noted that he has reported a street light on Salmon River Rd. that has open wire box and to-date it hasn't been repaired; concerned it's a safety issue. He is frustrated as he has (contacted multiple departments and Council Member's staff and nothing has been done. He also would like an explanation as to why some of the light standards on Salmon River Rd. have the new lights and others have not had them installed yet. He noted his disappointment in response times from Street Maintenance and Water Dept. to rectify problems.
 - Diehl said he filed a Police Report at the substation when a whole street was hit by thieves.
 - Heverly added that residents who file reports online will get a response and the report gets added to the department's list of projects.
 - Carey added that a new light, installed about 2 weeks ago, is now out.

- d. Politte noted that the CAHSRA is moving forward with the San Diego connection, per an email that was received. The CAHSRA's Board has approved an MOU and SANDAG is on board. The preliminary alternatives look to be narrowed down to a route that goes through Miramar Community College campus and neighboring affordable housing/apts. The other route looks to either go down SR163 or I-15 and will end up at the Airport, not downtown. She recommended that residents sign up for the email distribution list and read aloud, the San Diego portion of the email:

Los Angeles – San Diego (via the Inland Empire) Section

The Los Angeles to San Diego (via the Inland Empire) Section remains in the Alternative Analysis stage. The Preliminary Alternatives Analysis was approved by the CHSRA Board in March 2011. Further study of alternatives is needed in this section, as multiple corridors remain in some areas and analysis is needed to determine the appropriate alternatives to carry forward into environmental documentation and preliminary engineering. This further analysis and outreach to key stakeholders will begin this spring with the preparation of a Supplemental Alternatives Analysis, anticipated to be completed at the end of 2012.

- Becker added that RPPB did take a position that we were not in favor of the I-15 alignment.
 - Keating added that the CA voters approved initiating the study.
- e. Dave Roberts, a candidate for Board of Supervisors District 3, introduced himself and provided an overview of his background and stance on different issues; presently the Deputy Mayor of Solana Beach.
- Sandstrom noted that the coastal communities and the incumbent fought against the HSR Coastal Route and asked Roberts his thoughts on the HSR Inland vs. Coastal routes.
 - Roberts noted that Solana Beach has double tracking in order to provide services. There are plans for double tracking through north county. BOS has 2 seats on SANDAG transportation committee. Voters approved HSR, we need to do it right so it doesn't affect our quality of life. Did the voters have all the facts; costs to build, operate, & maintain it when they voted; we still need to figure out how we can accommodate the increasing population. If it impacts existing residents, we may not have the right solution.

6. ANNOUNCEMENTS & INFORMATION ITEMS:

- a. San Diego City Council District 1 Report – Stephen Heverly
- Mayor announced that there is additional revenue to use; deciding that the surplus should go towards library and rec center hours and beyond that would go towards hiring new police department cadets and a new alert system for the fire department. More to come on this and the impact on Redevelopment.
 - Lightner hosted an Economic Roundtable of local economists to put together a SWOT Analysis of the local economy and develop an economic strategy for San Diego. Last done in 2003.
 - Copper wire thefts. Even if replaced, he asked residents to keep eyes open for future thefts and report to Police any suspicious activity.
 - Recycled Water pipeline. Completed the segment in the canyon preserve and making the connection to Park Village is ongoing. Once Camino del Sur is completed, a connection will go in and eventually get recycled water into Carmel Valley.
 - Keating noted that the pipeline will end essentially at Park Village Elementary; asked

- if there will be stubs for the school and park. Becker added that wherever there is an existing potable metered tap, they will place a parallel 8" for local service for PV LMAD, Peñasquitos Creek Park, PV Elem. School).
- Keating noted that they did build a concrete culvert structure (bridge) with the 9 pipes for runoff; City staff was incorrect in their explanation that it would not be concrete at our previous meeting. Color is tan.
 - Graffiti in Peñasquitos Canyon on sewer access holes are being cleaned up.
 - Heverly is continuing to assist RPPB related to scheduling meetings with City staff to discuss the PFFP and park issues. Rhodes thanked Heverly for his assistance.
 - Rick Carey noted that the City website is only publishing a 3 week schedule of upcoming paving projects, which is not up-to-date; City staff has no accountability. Carey also noted the 15° lift in the sidewalk near his home, that he has tried in earnest to get the City to repair for 2 years; his patience is wearing thin. Becker noted that there are scheduled sidewalk repairs on Park Village coming which took 10 years to get completed and in the process, others are getting scheduled also. Carey added, that his neighborhood's water pressure is now 145-150 psi blowing out meters. He has been in contact with Water Dept. to come up with a plan with no resolution to-date. These are infrastructure issues that need to be addressed.
- b. San Diego City Planning & Community Investment Report – Michael Prinz, not in attendance.
- c. Assembly Member Nathan Fletcher's Office Report – Sterling McHale
- Fletcher will be holding Community Office hours, May 12th at Rancho Peñasquitos Library; staffers will be available to assist with getting State Agency (DMV, Medi-Cal, etc.) paperwork started.
 - SR-56 Accidents between vehicles and bicyclists/pedestrians. There have been 4 accidents in the last 4 years and Caltrans was asked to investigate. Not all accident reports are available at this time; can take upwards of 12-15 months to get after review and lawsuits can impact timeliness. Analysis along entire SR-56 shows there is a 30' distance (required) between highway and the bike path in most areas. Caltrans will rectify areas where it is not . Two of the accidents were the result of drunk drivers, another was driver fell asleep and the 4th one they are waiting for the report – these were not the result of the roadway is what McHale was told by Caltrans staff.
 - Becker asked about the status of the Bike Lane remedies RPPB recommended for Black Mtn. Rd. at SR-56.
 - Keating talked to City Staff today adding the plans have been revised and will go to Caltrans in a few days. It could take Caltrans up to 60 days to review and approve. Recommendations included widening the ped ramps, put in additional push buttons and add no right turn on red and additional signage. Keating noted that he made requests that there be 30' to the guard rail on SR-56. Caltrans is drafting a plan but holding onto it due to coming lawsuit.
 - Keating referred to the meeting held with Caltrans and City Staff to review the tunnel alternative. Action on the alternative idea stalled after that meeting; no one is championing culverts/paths alternatives at Black Mtn. Rd. Keating is preparing a letter for RPPB's approval that if the project is not going to move forward, the monies (\$1.75 million) allotted be returned to the FBA. It's a City project.
 - Fletcher has come out in support of the San Diego Canyonlands proposal (SB 1169).

- Rhodes asked their office would make sure that our recommendation on parcels that are not to be included is what gets approved.
 - Bende asked the status on the Governor's Budget specifically the suspending the funding for the Pre-School through Kindergarten Bridging Classes 2 years prematurely. McHale stated that the Republican Caucus proposed to maintain education and veterans service at the level they are presently, but was not included in the approved budget.
- d. Board of Supervisors, District 3, Pam Slaters' Office Report – Steve Hadley
- Dept. of Weights and Measures handout on overcharges found at 18% of stores in county.
 - CPUC public hearing on SDG&E's rate increase request to recover liability costs for the 2007 and future wildfires not covered by insurance. Meeting is on 4/5/2012 at Al Bahr Shrine Center, 4:00 – 9:00pm (handout).

7. BUSINESS.

a. **Calle de las Rosas All-Way Stop Signs Update – John Keating (Discussion Update from Feb. 2012)**

Becker reviewed the list of recommendations from the March meeting and turned the discussion over to Keating.

Keating reported that he submitted our recommendations to City Staff and a tube study was completed over 4 days (2/20/12 – 2/24/12); distributed handouts of results. Each day approx. 2,800 cars drove on Calle de las Rosas, not an unreasonable volume. The average speed was around 35 mph (85%) and was similar in both directions. The counted speeds driven are used to determine what reasonable and prudent drivers should be driving; in this case a range of 25-35 mph. The street is posted at 25mph. These results document a speeding problem.

Keating reviewed his proposed plan.

- 1) Install one all-way stop at Calle de las Rosas at La Tortola (East).
- 2) Install a V-Calm sign for westbound Calle de las Rosas on the street light pole between 12883 and 12889, assuming a placement on the south side of the street works.
- 3) Install two additional 25 mph speed limit signs, one just west of La Tortola (East) and the other at the bottom of the first big downgrade, before the curve.
- 4) Install yellow raised pavement markers on the double yellow between the two La Tortola's.
- 5) Request more enforcement.

Keating noted that City Staff would not agree to painting 25 MPH pavement legends adjacent to all four westbound speed limit signs.

Keating reviewed additional items for further consideration (a Phase II if needed), ie. 1) Psychological striping (decreased perpendicular spacing) on the steep downhill, 2) Increase the amount of street lighting, 3) Trim all vegetation in the public right-of-way that restricts sight distance.

Through the alternative process, RPPB's approved recommendations would be sent through Heverly to City Council office to present for implementation.

Keating then reviewed ideas that are not recommended at this time, ie. 1) Prohibit parking near the curve to reduce number of objects that can get hit, 2) Dangerous Curve Ahead sign, 3) Speed humps, 4) Botts dots in the travel lane approaching the curve

(rumble strip), 5) Install a parking lane stripe, 6) Install a “SLOW” pavement legend approaching the curve, 7) A midblock stop sign at the curve, 8) Temporary Stop signs at La Tortola, monitor results, 9) An observation camera.

Becker asked for comments from RPPB members first and thanked Keating for his professional hours on behalf of the community; adding that Keating’s employer and the company donated these services.

- Sandstrom thanked Keating adding that he drove the street twice and agreed with the 5 recommendations proposed.
- Diehl noted that he contacted City Staff about funds and the monies RPPB had in place for V-Calms was used for the Peñasquitos Drive installations. He added there are Community funds available to do V-Calm signs if we need to, but it required a CIP program and could take 2 years to happen.
- Becker/Heverly stated its currently on an unfunded needs list. If RPPB desires to increase monies or shift monies to an FBA for a CIP program, he can work to get that implemented. Heverly said that Engineering may take 6 mo. – 1 year to prepare the drawings and install once money is found based on past experience.
- Bende said it was a great plan. Vegetation in the right-of-way is resident’s responsibility, suggesting that the neighbors help us contact those neighbors.
 - Becker asked if the neighbors were comfortable contacting their neighbors.
 - Clark noted that PQ Town Council Code Enforcement could send letters to the parties with vegetation view obstructions.
 - Politte and Brooks agreed that we shouldn’t pit neighbor against neighbor.
 - Joe Schuary agreed to send us the address and Clark will work with Town Council to get a letter written.
- Politte noted that RPPB was not informed that the FBA monies were being used to install the Peñasquitos Drive V-Calm installations and asked if we could feed the CIP Program (FBA) with Community Funds? Diehl said that adding another project and the funds would be the easiest way to get this done. Becker noted that we could make a loan to be reimbursed when developer funds become available.
- Diehl said that Peñasquitos Drive V-calms and additional markers cost \$150,000 to install.
 - Politte added that the uphill placement never goes off and wished the City had placed that V-Calm in front of the home where the drivers speed up and accidents have already happened.
 - Diehl read from the bottom of the FBA, “Additional projects will be scheduled as location and identification of funds become available.”
 - Becker stated that the language is in the FBA, so we should be able to explore that option.
- Keating stated that we are only looking at one V-Calm on the downhill grade, would it be only \$75,000?
- Brooks inquired if there would be a new timeline with this action.
 - Becker noted that Heverly has asked that the installations be put on hold, but it could take 3 weeks to get back on track and get the All-way stop in place.
 - Heverly stated for clarification, that it cost \$15,000 for each V-Calm on Peñasquitos Drive and the ones at Oviedo cost \$60,000 each. There was only \$90,000 in the fund originally.
 - Keating added that the original plan approved by RPPB included 10 V-Calms

at \$15,000 each; \$150,000 fund balance.

- Clark great job, wants to see it happen.
- Shoecraft thanked Keating.
- Spurr added that enforcement needs to be active, this is a public safety concern; it will send a message.
- Parker was in agreement with proposed.
- Diehl stated that he did see enforcement officers pulling drivers over while the study was going on.
- Jon Vance referring to Keating's map, noted that the downhill experience begins on the downhill grade before the 1st curve and continues at the 2nd curve. His neighbors are in favor of all recommendations except the stop signs. He tested his car from a stop at the location of the stop sign and allowed his car to roll down the hill. At the 1st curve, his car had reached the speed limit. He feels that even with the stop sign at La Tortola (East) drivers will accelerate to excess speeds.
 - Bende noted that if a driver hits that intersection going 25 mph and takes their foot off the gas, they would accelerate to well above the speed limit by the time they reach the 1st curve. A stop sign encourages people to start slower and will cause slower speeds at the curve.
 - Vance stated that if you are going 25 mph, drivers would be encouraged to brake at the crest of the hill.
 - Bende disagreed with that premise.
- Lorraine Pickels stated that she felt the stop sign would be more dangerous and not a successful deterrent. Enforcement would be needed so people would not run those signs. Cars turning from the side street onto Calle de las Rosas could get hit by those running the stops. This may divert traffic onto La Tortola (West) and over to Paseo Montril causing an issue on that street.
- Diehl noting there is a stop sign already at Calle de las Rosas at La Tortola (West), adding that the City probably won't buy into a single sided stop sign (only in one direction). Residents coming from La Tortola will have to stop either way (east end or west end). The only other thing he would like to see is a "Stop Ahead" sign before the all-way stops.
- Keating added that one set of stop signs is not going to create a major impact on parallel streets by diverting drivers.
- Jane Vredensky stated that she was in favor of stop signs, to cause a slower start going into the downhill and added that La Tortola residents would benefit from safer turns onto Calle de las Rosas.
- Rick Carey (Salmon River Rd resident) stated that he was opposed to the stop signs adding that the condition of existing signage and street legends are worn out. There is the appearance that this is a B grade street but the signage on Calle de las Rosas is worn. We haven't taken the controls that meet the engineering standards, by not going this path which is outside of established professional criteria that says this isn't warranted. We're not maintaining the existing signage that is place. He would suggest that enforcement be moved to the top of the list of recommendations. He personally uses that street at least once a day, sometimes twice, and to-date has not seen enforcement since the last meeting.
- Politte stated that she did not want to be argumentative but wanted to make a point here. We are talking about whether it is needed by engineering standards of the street.

If we didn't do some of these things, children would be dead. If we had not put the V-Calms and the extra stop signs over on Twin Trails, there would have been child(ren) who are no longer with us. Those were not warranted, but because of the process we are allowed to; we can protect people from those who have less concern about it. If this process slows traffic down so people like Joe Schuary are not hit getting out of their car or ending up with a car in their front yard, it's worth it. It slows you down, it might take a few more minutes, it might wake you up. I think it's a smart move to put in some of these precautions. Now if it ends up that it's not needed, we can look at making changes. But if we continue to have accidents, we have to look further and beyond that - 4 accidents in 4 years. We had 8 accidents in 5 years up here on Peñasquitos Drive. We need to take precautions to protect the innocent and that's what we do.

- Jim O'Neill noted that enforcement during the study may have impacted the accuracy of the study.
 - Diehl noted that enforcement was at the top of the hill two days during the study at Via la Cantera and study tubes could not be seen by those drivers. Most people don't know what those strips are for.
 - O'Neill heard them more on the downhill side.
 - Becker said the counts show that speed was an issue.
 - O'Neill noted was the one who suggested the botts dots to get drivers to stay on their side of the street; today one was riding the yellow line.
- Becker stated that there is a health and safety issue and our role is to try to adhere to that.
- Bende offered a motion.

Motion: To approve the Calle de las Rosas traffic plan as follows:

- 1) Install an all-way stop at Calle de las Rosas at La Tortola (East).
- 2) Install a V-Calm sign for westbound Calle de las Rosas on the street light polie between 12883 and 12889, assuming a placement on the south side of the street works.
- 3) Install two additional 25 mph speed limit signs, one just west of La Tortola (East) and the other at the bottom of the first big downgrade, before the curve.
- 4) Install yellow raised pavement markers on the double yellow between the two La Tortola's.
- 5) Request more enforcement.
- 6) Trimming of vegetation in the Public right-of-way.
- 7) Send letter(s) to residents with vegetation encroaching into the right-of-way that obstruct sight lines along Calle de las Rosas.

M/S/C – Bende/Brooks/Discussion.

- Diehl suggested an amendment to the motion to include 2 “Stop Ahead” signs to be placed prior to each stop sign. Discussion:
 - Keating suggested that becomes sign pollution. It's reasonable to expect a stop sign.
 - Politte was in agreement with Diehl's suggestion to amend the motion.
- Brooks would like the condition of existing signs reviewed. Becker suggested that could be a friendly amendment to the motion.
- Brooks inquired of the 3 local residents present and in opposition to the stop signs, if they live between the two La Tortola street intersections? 2 did not live on Calle de las Rosas and 1 lived next to where the speed sign would be

located but clarified that he was not opposed to the stop signs.

- Shoecraft encouraged including the amendment to look at the condition of the existing signage.

Amendment to add the “Stop Ahead” signage was not accepted by motion originators.

Amendment to include refurbish/replace existing signage was accepted.

After no further discussion, Becker called for a vote of the motion as amended.

Motion: To approve the Calle de las Rosas traffic plan as follows:

- 1) Install an all-way stop at Calle de las Rosas at La Tortola (East).
- 2) Install a V-Calm sign for westbound Calle de las Rosas on the street light pole between 12883 and 12889, assuming a placement on the south side of the street works.
- 3) Install two additional 25 mph speed limit signs, one just west of La Tortola (East) and the other at the bottom of the first big downgrade, before the curve.
- 4) Install yellow raised pavement markers on the double yellow between the two La Tortola’s.
- 5) Request more enforcement.
- 6) Send letter(s) to residents with vegetation encroaching into the right-of-way that obstruct sight lines along Calle de las Rosas.
- 7) To refurbish or replace existing signage.

M/S/C – Bende/Brooks/Approved, 10 in favor - 2 against (Politte & Diehl) – 0 abstentions – 0 recusals.

* Politte later changed her Vote in favor of the Motion. It was noted that Politte was not opposed to proposed plan, but would have preferred the inclusion of the additional “Stop Ahead” signs. The members accepted.

Motion approved, 11 in favor – 1 against (Diehl) – 0 abstentions – 0 recusals.

b. San Diego Canyonlands – Eric Bowlby (Action Item)

Bowlby reviewed the process, SB 1169 (Kehoe) legislation used to dedicate park land and Dedication vs. Designation. City Charter includes a section for Dedicating parkland which protects it for future park use. It does allow roads and utilities to use dedicated park land. It was suppose to happen on an annual basis, but City Staff member who did the survey and worked the process passed away. San Diego Canyonlands submitted a proposed list to San Diego Park & Recreation Division for review. That list was sent to Real Estate Assets for further review.

RPPB has approx. 1,900 acres under its jurisdiction. RPPB approved parcels would go to City Council for inclusion with other City parcels to be approved for Dedication through the legislative process. Each planning group in the City is reviewing parcels within their planning area.

Bende noted that the LUC reviewed. Per Bowlby, his Board of Directors (San Diego Canyonlands) has agreed to remove the Darkwood Canyon parcel we requested from the list of parcels that will be presented to the City. Bende added that each of our members were asked to look at their area. He added that RPPB is perceptually in favor of the concept. Bende asked Heverly to coordinate a meeting with Lightner prior to Council Action to ensure that our recommendations move forward as approved.

- Clark asked Bowlby to scroll the map to show Darkwood Canyon. Bowlby stated that his Board did agree to remove that parcel.

- Rhodes stated that he has had discussions with Bowlby about the yellow lines being removed from the maps as they are not exact.
 - 1,941 acres proposed in RPPB planning area.
 - Sandstrom noted the MHPA boundary lines go outside the exact area. HOA have brush management responsibility and wants to be sure that homes or other property is not included.
 - Bowlby stated that the City can only dedicate lands that the City owns; legal documentation on each parcel.
- Becker noted that the removal of Darkwood Canyon should be based on health & safety and the possible loss of access to a road if Camino del Sur is stopped due to this dedication.
- Becker asked that West Chase HOA owned parcel #H510RU be removed as it is not owned by the City. #H508RU is owned by the City. Cell towers on parcel near the LDS Church (PPH) need to be memorialized for future cell use. Bowlby stated that it would be considered a utility and would continue to be used for that purpose.
- Diehl – no exclusions from his district.
- Sandstrom stated that until the lines on maps are accurate, he cannot support the proposal.
 - Bowlby stated that the MHPA lines are not being decided.
 - Sandstrom added that the GIS data entry is inaccurate, hard line vs. fuzzy lines. Had to fight to get the Intuit building built because of inaccurate lines. Until adjusted to legal lines, he would not support.
- Bende requested clarification, that all lines these maps are GIS data entries and the legislation is tied to the recorded parcel maps, not these maps. Bowlby replied yes and the Legislative package will contain the legal descriptions of each parcel.
- Rhodes noted that the lines could be a problem and is 100% with Sandstrom on this issue.
 - Bowlby stated that the Real Estate Assets Dept. will use legal descriptions for each parcel and lines will be cleaned up.
 - Becker stated that the final map would be based on legal descriptions.
- Spurr stated that he would prefer language that states “follows legal description” and would like RPPB to condition it’s approval on; the map is secondary to the legal description.
- Bende stated that the yellow lines do not represent the boundaries of parcels and our recommendation should follow legal description. In District 8, he has no objections.
- Politte stated that there are 2 parcels in District 1 listed for inclusion. Dedication won’t affect whether the proposed unfunded extension of Peñasquitos Drive goes through or not so they can be included.
- Brooks has no parcels in her district.
- Clark stated that the legal description must have consistency with parcel numbers and maps and it could be wrong in the end. How do we get the City to come back to us to confirm any fine tuning on the proposed parcels to be sure they are accurate?
- Becker suggested that it comes down to who is paying the tax, that is documented.
- Parker noted his concern for any location where the purple line touches any MHPA on the maps.
- Becker stated, this is about ownership.
- Politte stated that under the old process to dedicate, City staff would have had to

survey each parcel. Without the staff to do so, there has been a delay in dedicating these parcels. Should we be concerned that the parcels are not being surveyed?

- Clark is concerned that an owner might lose a portion of their lot if lines are wrong.
- Becker asked if we wanted to couch until we meet with Lightner.
- Shoecraft stated that he could not support the proposal without seeing the change in wording for Darkwood Canyon. It was noted that the parcel could be dedicated at a later date or after the road gets built.
- Spurr suggested that we memorialize our reason in the motion for removing Darkwood Canyon because the road is not yet built. Legal descriptions should be required and the lines are immaterial. No parcels in District 2 for consideration.
- Rhodes suggested that RPPB approve the parcels, not the maps.
- Bende stated that he would like to propose two motions.

Motion: To not support San Diego Canyonlands' proposal with the current maps and that the maps are not approved by RPPB. Bende/Clark/Approved, 12 in favor – 0 against – 0 abstentions – 0 recusals.

Motion: To approve San Diego Canyonlands proposal to dedicate City owned canyonlands as described by the site codes where those numbers are tied back to legal descriptions and County recorded parcel maps, excluding the following sites: 1) Site # H513RU (Darkwood Canyon) due to future road construction and upon completion of the road, RPPB would like to start the process to dedicate this site, and 2) Site # H510RU because it is a West Chase HOA owned property and not a City owned property. RPPB would like to assure that utilities and cell tower sites be allowed within dedicated parcels. M/S/C – Bende/Diehl/Discussion.

- Sandstrom would like to add tract maps. He does not want to confuse Assessor's parcel maps, which are tax related, with tract maps, and do not relate to legal boundaries.
- Rhodes suggested that we not give the reason why we are excluding Darkwood Canyon. We can dedicate it later. Group agreed to take that out.
- Diehl stated the reason would be documented in the minutes.

With no further discussion, Becker called for a vote on the Motion as amended.

Motion: To approve San Diego Canyonlands proposal to dedicate City owned canyonlands as described by the site codes where those numbers are tied back to legal descriptions, tract maps, and County recorded parcel maps with the exclusion of the following sites: 1) Site # H513RU - Darkwood Canyon, and 2) Site # H510RU because it is West Chase HOA owned property and not a City owned property. RPPB would like to assure that utilities and cell tower sites be allowed within dedicated parcels. M/S/C – Bende/Diehl/Approved, 11 in favor – 1 against (Shoecraft) – 0 abstentions – 0 recusals.

c. **Torrey Glens HOA Easement Vacation – Scot Sandstrom (Action Item)**

Sandstrom recused himself and reviewed handouts of the proposed easement vacation. The HOA voted unanimously to ask the City to vacate the easement that was dedicated when Torrey Glen was presented to the City in 2000. The City required a temporary easement to the future students of Westview High School who would need a walking route to school. Pardee's development was not planned, designed or built and they did not want to grant a temporary pedestrian access across their property. Western Pacific Homes

agreed to provide an easement from Calderon over to Watson Ranch Road. Terramar is now built out and in 2 weeks, the temporary fence which restricted access through that neighborhood will be gone and the new, permanent connection is within the public right-of-way on City sidewalks. No one ever thought it would take 10 years for Pardee to build. The HOA is asking RPPB to approve their request to vacate the easement.

Bende added that LUC approved the vacation, 5 in favor – 0 against – 1 recusal (Sandstrom).

- Spurr asked if the Oleson's would get back full use of lot; Becker stated that it would be unencumbered.
- Rhodes asked if Sandstrom was recusing due to financial benefit? Sandstrom is in the association and HOA has been maintaining the easement, but he doesn't want there to be any confusion so he is recusing.
- Parker asked if it was temporary pedestrian easement; Sandstrom said it does not say temporary because the City wanted control when Pardee was done.
- Shoecraft asked if the Oleson's house was in the Torrey Glen HOA? Yes.
- Clark, Brooks, Politte, Bende, Keating, Diehl and Rhodes each stated they were okay with the proposed.

Motion: To approve the Vacation of the Public Use HOA Easement in Torrey Glens subdivision as presented. M/S/C – Rhodes/Bende/Approved, 11 in favor – 0 against – 0 abstentions – 1 recusal (Sandstrom).

d. **Elections – Bill Diehl**

Diehl reported the results of RPPB's annual election; 16 voters and all incumbents retain their seats. District 6 will be vacant (incumbent, Mallac, did not submit application to run) and BMR 2 is vacant.

- Becker stated that Steve Gore has applied and Park & Rec Council will be appointing Gore to fill their seat on RPPB. Steve introduced himself.
- Becker added that David Wiesley has submitted an application to be appointed to fill the BMR 2 seat. They will be appointed at the next meeting.
- Bende added that the election results would be certified next month, the election of officers and appointments would take place then.

8. **REPORTS.**

a. **Chair Report – Jon Becker**

- Planning Groups will have oversight of recreation activities within their boundaries if there is no Recreation Council.
- Outdoor lighting standards are changing to a more blue light.
- Housing Element of the General Plan workshop on March 9th is scheduled for review by the CPC working group.
- The CPC has a new working group on multiple variances, which originated in Ocean Beach.
- Parker will begin review of proposed cell project near Westview H. S.
- Sent a letter of support for the PQ Fiesta that is put on by the Town Council.
- Upcoming presentations: SDG&E plan to convert wood poles to steel (Torrey Highlands), Camelot (BMR) development, Khouli properties, Caltrans Update is being rescheduled.

b. **Vice-Chair Report – Charles Sellers, not present**

- c. Secretary Report – Jeanine Politte, no report
- d. Standing Committee Reports:
 - Land Use (Joost Bende) – no report
 - Telecomm (Charles Sellers) – no report
- e. Ad Hoc Committee Reports:
 - FBA/PFFP Prioritization (Keith Rhodes)
 - Meetings have been ongoing with good progress. Getting the pool removed from the FBA/PFFP is an onerous process. The City says they have raised 83% of the funds for the pool. Widening of SR-56 and the TH Traffic Phasing Plan – there is no formula per the City. Meeting next week to work on the traffic issues, SR-56 widening and connectors to I-5 are holding up ADTs in Torrey Highlands (should be interpreted similarly to Pacific Highlands Ranch).
 - Question on FBA funding for the pool - \$1.75 million is PQ Share, \$295,900 Torrey Highlands share and \$605,168 is BMR share. Can we assume that these amounts would be taken out of all 3 planning areas if we are taking it out of the PQ FBA?
 - Keating talked with Linda Manbian; he thought the project was not getting funded this way, interim solution might be using other funds.
 - Rhodes asked if we could get a straw vote.
 - Diehl noted the City Park & Rec Dept. didn't want to remove the pool so he needs to figure how to poll the community on GDP changes and get their input on the pool removal. City Park & Recreation requires 1 pool for every 50,000 people.
 - Cresta Bella/Doubletree (Jeanine Politte)
 - o Doubletree has a new catering manager.
 - o Cresta Bella –
 - (i) Spurr asked if Cresta Bella was responsible to made repairs to lifted sidewalks? Becker stated that due to the ministerial process, they took it to the public right-of-way. He added that the permit agreement usually states that if damaged during construction it will be replaced.
 - (ii) Politte added that the concrete apron (dip) that runs across Cuca at Peñasquitos Drive, curb to curb, is damaged due to their heavy construction vehicles. She thought they would be responsible to replace once construction was done.
 - (iii) Spurr thought that Peñasquitos Drive would get restriped to extend the left turn lanes up the street now that the development's entrance/exit has moved further up the hill.
 - (iv) There was discussion as to the process of approval of the project which did not allow RPPB input. Will ask Heverly to contact DSD and project's Resident Engineer to inquire on these questions.
 - Our Lady of Mt. Carmel (Joost Bende)
 - Project is under construction, have not received any complaints.
 - Becker noted that the Diocese owns 10 acres in the other end of Rancho Peñasquitos and there may be a project coming forward.
 - Santa Fe Summit II & III (Scot Sandstrom) – no report
- f. Liaison and Organization Reports:

- Black Mountain Open Space Park (Bill Diehl) – meeting is tomorrow night
- DSD Facilities Financing (Bill Diehl)
 - Diehl would like to see a 501c not-for-profit created to administer Rhodes Crossing development money and make the improvements more economically. Becker added that he talked with Sellers about setting it up and Diehl has connections to help get the nonprofit set up.
 - Diehl reviewed the Community Fund Balance sheets distributed, noting changes. The City added projects to the Park Village Estate Park and Rec Facilities Fund without RPPB or Park & Rec Council approvals. Will need to review and approve at the next meeting. There are also projects/funds that we approved not listed on Community Fund sheets.
- MCAS Miramar Community Leaders Forum (Dennis Spurr)
 - MCAS has had recent problems in the eastern part of the base with trespassers.
- PQ Fire Safe Council (Dennis Spurr) – no meeting, next is 3rd Thurs in March.
- PQ Town Council (Mike Shoecraft)
 - Community Budget Alliance presented at meeting last week.
 - May – Relay for Life at Hilltop Park
 - Lt. Blagg reported at the last Town Council meeting that residential Burglaries are down; 5 years ago – 65, last year – 46, and this year-to-date is 35.
 - o Police have contacted a minor about graffiti in the north end of PQ.
 - Brooks inquired about changes to Circle K hours of operation and lighting; noting that the original CUP limited hours and that they were to be closed at 10:00pm. They have been open 24/7 for about the last month – 1.5 months, with lights on. Bende noted that no CUP amendment has come through RPPB to approve them being open 24/7. It should be a code enforcement issue.
 - * Becker added that private parking lot lights may get the same benefits with the new lighting that the City is installing.
- PQ Recreation Council (Bill Diehl)
 - No meeting.
 - Egg Hunt previously discussed.
 - Balboa Park Centennial Celebration presentation on March 15th.
 - Little League and Girls Softball had opening day last weekend.
 - 4S Ranch got artificial turf fields that had originally been proposed for BMR fields.
 - Diehl has been confirmed to serve 2 more years on the San Diego City Park & Recreation Board.
- Los Pen Canyon Psv CAC (John Keating)
 - RPPB needs to send a letter appointing Keating and Becker, one as alternate. Becker will prepare.
 - Becker noted an article on fines for mountain biking off trails in U-T.
- Park Village LMAD (Jon Becker)
 - Working on additional neighborhood monuments.
- Peñasquitos East LMAD (Bill Diehl)
 - The approved monuments at entrances into Rancho Peñasquitos are going in.
- Torrey Highlands LMAD (Darren Parker) – no meeting
- Transportation Agencies (John Keating) – no report

The meeting was adjourned at 11:06pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanine Politte
RPPB Secretary

Approved 5/2/2012, 13 in favor – 0 against – 0 abstentions/recusals.